Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/582,141	CACCIA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	YATE' K. CUTLIFF	1621
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>YATE' K. CUTLIFF</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert A. Madsen.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 April 2010	Time: <u>9:45 a.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 102(b) and 103(a)		
Claims discussed: 11 and 13 - 17		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/YATE' K. CUTLIFF/ Assistant Examiner, Art Unit 1621 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Cutliff, after discussion with SPE Sullivan and SPE Andres, telephoned Mr Madsen and discussed the issures that remained concerning claims 15 - 17. Specifically, the 112, first issue that arose as a result of the amendment to claim 15. Examiner advised that cancellation of claims 15 - 17 would place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Madsen contacted the Applicant, and later instructed the Examiner to cancel claims 15 - 17, without prejudice..